

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,746	12/07/2001	Van Barlow	510P004	8798	
7590 05/27/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Kevin S. Lem	nack		LOPEZ, M	ICHELLE	
Nields & Lemack 176 E. Main Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Westboro, MA 01581			3721		
•		DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

···		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons		10/017,746	BARLOW ET AL.			
Office Ac	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michelle Lopez	3721			
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply speci If NO period for reply is speci Failure to reply within the second and reply received by the Company of the S	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 in the mailing date of this communication. fied above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ecified above, the maximum statutory period w et or extended period for reply will, by statute,	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE and the determinant of the communication, even if timely filed.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 22 M	arch 2004.				
2a) This action is F	<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> if 7) ☐ Claim(s)		vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification	on is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10)□ The drawing(s)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
.,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
· ·	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119					
a) All b) So 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of applications.	ome * c) None of: copies of the priority document: copies of the priority document: of the certified copies of the prior on from the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Ci Notice of Draftsperson's	ted (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
	statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on March 22, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kish (US Pat. 5,882,405). Kish'405 discloses a method of forming an insulated staple including the process steps of providing a staple body via wire "12" adapted to be formed into a bight portion and a pair of legs (not shown numerically), providing a uniform coating on the staple body "12" via "14" (see col. 6, lines 34-36 and 62-65) with a dielectric coating applied to an individual wire "12" prior to formation into said bight portion and pair of legs (see Fig. 9), and forming the staple body into the bight portion and the pair of legs via "22" to form an integral unitary structure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3721

3. Claims 1-10 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dennis (US Pat. 6,082,604) in view of Kish (US Pat. 5,882,405). Dennis'604 discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a housing "A", a driver "E", an actuator "B", a detachable magazine assembly "100", one or more staples "300", a nose "W", a tail end (see Fig. 1), a pusher "250", a staple bight portion and a pair of legs (see Fig. 20), and a process of fastening a wire or cable to a substrate (see col. 1, lines 8-25). Dennis'604 does not specifically state that the fasteners are uniformly coated forming a unitary structure. However, Kish'405 teaches the process step of uniformly coating a staple body "12" (see Fig. 9) for the purpose of forming a unitary fastener structure coated on its entire exterior surface (see col. 6, lines 34-36 and lines 62-65). In view of Kish'405, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art to have provided Dennis' invention with a uniformly coated fastener structure, as the staples body or wires "12" are fed to a coating station with a substantial amount of free space about the periphery of each wire "12", in order to form a unitary fastener structure.

Regarding claim 2 and 6, Dennis'604 does not disclose a coated fastener with an electrically insulating coating. However, as Kish's invention provides an electrostatically coating process with a nylon coating powder, it is deemed that the coating powder is a non-conductive material coated to the fastener for the purpose of providing electrically insulating properties to the fastener. In view of Kish'405, it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided Dennis' invention including a coated fastener with a nylon coating which provide electrically insulating properties to the

Art Unit: 3721

fastener in order to minimize the possibility that the fastener penetrate or damage the sheathing on a wire and cause an electrical short circuit.

Regarding claim 3, Dennis'604 does not specifically state that the coating has cushioning properties. However, Examiner takes Official Notice of the well-known act of provide Dennis' invention including a fastener having a coating with cushioning properties for the purpose of fastening a staple to a wire without damaging or deforming the wire. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided Dennis' invention including a staple having a coating with cushioning properties in order to attach a staple to a wire without causing damage or deformation of the wire that could create a short circuit or other effects on the electrical current being transmitted.

Regarding claim 5, Dennis'604 does not disclose that the fastener is coated with nylon. However, Kish'405 teaches a fastener coated with nylon for the purpose of providing a unitary structure with a thermoplastic coating. In view of Kish'405, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art to have provided Dennis' invention with a fastener coated with nylon in order to provide a unitary structure with a thermoplastic coating, thereby providing insulating properties.

Regarding claims 8-9, Dennis'604 discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a staple "300" for securing a wire to a substrate (see col. 1, lines 11-16) having a bight portion and a pair of legs (see Fig.20). Dennis'604 does not disclose a dielectric coating coated to a staple body prior to formation into a bight and the pair of legs.

However, Kish'405 teaches a dielectric coating coated to staple body prior to formation into a bight and the pair of legs (see col. 1, lines 61-66, and col. 2, lines 16-18) for the

Art Unit: 3721

purpose of forming a unitary structure, wherein the coating remains stationary on the staple. In view of Kish'405, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified Dennis' invention including a dielectric coating coated to staple body prior to formation into a bight and the pair of legs in order to form a unitary structure, wherein the coating remains stationary on the staple.

Regarding claim 10, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided Dennis' invention including a coating thickness range from about 0.001 inches to 0.050 inches as a matter of design choice.

4. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dennis'604 as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Kish et al. (US Pat. 5,441,373). Dennis'604 does not specifically state that the staples are made of steel. However, Kish'373 teaches a staple made of steel (col. 1, lines 34-35) for the purpose of providing a staple with the required hardness and stiffness properties. In view of Kish'373, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified Dennis' invention as modified by Kish'373 having a staple made of steel in order to provide a staple with the required hardness and stiffness properties assuring the penetration and fastening of the staple into a substrate.

Regarding claim 12, Dennis'604 does not disclose that each free end of the staple terminates in an angled cut. However, Kish'373 teaches a staple with angled cut free ends for the purpose of facilitating the penetration of the staple into a substrate. In view of Kiss'373, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have

Art Unit: 3721

provided Dennis' invention including a staple with angled cut free ends in order to facilitate the penetration of the staple into a substrate.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed persuasive.
- 6. Applicant contends that Kish'405 does not show or suggest uniformly coated fasteners, or fasteners that are individually uniformly coated to form a unitary structure as between each individual fastener and the coating. However, as can be seen in Figs. 9-13, Kish'405 teaches the process step of feeding individual and separated wires "12" to a coating station "14". It is deemed that Kish'405 fasteners are formed from a staple body or wire "12" being individually and uniformly coated, thereby forming a unitary structure as between each individual fastener and the coating.
- 7. For the reasons above, the ground of rejection are deemed proper.
- 8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

Art Unit: 3721

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

Page 7

SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michelle Lopez whose telephone number is 703-305-

8205. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday: 8:00 am - 6:00 pm.

10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SCOTT A. SMITH
PRIMARY EXAMINER